| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/721,543 | LIU ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Quang Nguyen, Ph.D. | 1636 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: After a non-final | | |
| (1) <u>Pamela J. Sherwood</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Quang Nguyen. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 9 September 2004 | Time: Morning | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | |
| Examiner contacted attorney Sherwood on 9/9/04 to propose minor amendments as they appear in Examiner's amendment, so that the application is in conditions for allowance. The proposed amendments were accepted. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica | int/Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |